arXiv:1108.2000v1 [math.KT] 9 Aug 2011

K'-THEORY OF A LOCAL RING OF FINITE COHEN-MACAULAY TYPE

VIRAJ NAVKAL

Abstract. We study the K'-theory of a CM Henselian local ring R of finite Cohen-Macaulay type. In our main theorem we produce a long exact sequence involving the groups $K'_i(R)$ and the K-groups of the endomorphism rings of the indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-modules, along with their residue rings.

1. Introduction

This paper generalizes the following result of Auslander and Reiten; see [AR86, §2, Prop. 2.2] for the original statement or [Yos90, 13.7] for the statement in this form.

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a Henselian CM local ring of finite representation type. Denote by H the free abelian group on the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-modules. Then the kernel of the quotient $H \longrightarrow K'_0(R)$ is the subgroup*

$$\langle [N] - [E] + [M] \mid \exists \text{ an Auslander-Reiten sequence } 0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \rangle.$$

Thus if one knows the Auslander-Reiten sequences in the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-modules, the above theorem allows explicit computation of $K'_0(R)$.

By generalizing Auslander and Reiten's techniques, we are able to produce a long exact sequence involving the groups $K'_i(R)$. Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a Henselian CM local ring of finite Cohen-Macaulay type. Let I be the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-modules, and let $I_0 = I \backslash \{[R]\}$. Then there is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \to \bigoplus_{[M]\in I_0} K_i(\kappa_M) \to \bigoplus_{[M]\in I} K_i(R_M) \to K'_i(R) \to \bigoplus_{[M]\in I_0} K_{i-1}(\kappa_M) \to \cdots$$

where $R_M = \mathrm{End}_R(M)$ and $\kappa_M = R_M/\mathrm{rad}(R_M)$. The long exact sequence ends in a presentation

$$\mathbb{Z}^I \cong \bigoplus_I K_0(R_M) \longrightarrow K'_0(R) \longrightarrow 0$$

of $K'_0(R)$; it is exactly the one described in Theorem 1.1.

In the case when $R = S/(w)$ is a hypersurface singularity of finite CM type, we derive a similar long exact sequence involving the algebraic K-groups of the dg category MF of matrix factorizations with potential w; see 3.19.

This paper has two parts. In Section 2 we fix notation and give background. In Section 3, we present our main results and their proofs.

I would like to thank my advisor Christian Haesemeyer for his guidance and support; without him this work would not have been possible. Also I thank Daniel Grayson for his assistance.

2. Preliminaries

Recall that an exact category is an additive category $\mathcal{E}$ together with a distinguished class of conflations $M' \rightarrowtail M \twoheadrightarrow M''$ such that there is a fully faithful additive functor f from $\mathcal{E}$ into an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following two properties:

1. $M' \rightarrowtail M \twoheadrightarrow M''$ is a conflation in $\mathcal{E}$ if and only if $0 \to f(M') \to f(M) \to f(M'') \to 0$ is a short exact sequence in $\mathcal{A}$.
2. If $0 \to f(M') \to A \to f(M'') \to 0$ is exact in $\mathcal{A}$, then $A \cong f(M)$ for some M in $\mathcal{E}$.

The K-theory of an exact category $\mathcal{E}$ is denoted by $K(\mathcal{E})$ and is defined as $\Omega BQ\mathcal{E}$, where B is the classifying space functor and Q denotes Quillen's Q-construction ([Qui73, §2]). Set $K_n(\mathcal{E}) = \pi_n(K(\mathcal{E}))$. As shown in [Wal83, 1.3, 1.9], $K(\mathcal{E})$ is naturally the zeroth space of an Ω-spectrum, and we sometimes will refer to this spectrum also by $K(\mathcal{E})$. For a ring R, $K(R)$ will denote the K-theory of the category of projective finitely generated left R-modules, and $K'(R)$ will denote the K-theory of the category of all finitely generated left R-modules.

Definition 2.1. A nonzero object A of an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ is called *indecomposable* if whenever $A \cong A' \oplus A''$, $A' \cong 0$ or $A'' \cong 0$. Denote by $\mathrm{ind}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.2. We say the additive category $\mathcal{A}$ *has unique decomposition* if for every object A of $\mathcal{A}$, there are indecomposable objects $M_1, \ldots, M_n$, unique up to isomorphism, such that $A \cong M_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_n$.

For an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ and object M in $\mathcal{A}$, let us fix the following notation. Let $R_M = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}} M$ and $\mathcal{A}_M = \mathsf{free}(R_M)$, the category of free finitely generated left R_M-modules. Denote by $i_M : \mathcal{A}_M \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a fully faithful additive functor sending the rank one free module R_M to M and identifying $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}_M} R_M = R_M$ with $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$. The choice of such a functor is unique up to isomorphism of functors.

Given a collection of additive categories $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$, we define their direct sum $\bigoplus \mathcal{A}_i$ to be the additive category whose objects are functions $f : I \to \prod \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ with $f(i) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for all i and $f(i) \neq 0$ for only finitely many i. Morphisms are defined by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\bigoplus \mathcal{A}_i}(f, g) = \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(f(i), g(i))$. If each $\mathcal{A}_i$ is an exact category, $\bigoplus \mathcal{A}_i$ is also exact; its conflations are the sequences which are coordinate-wise conflations. This direct sum is then the coproduct with respect to exact functors between exact categories.

Daniel Grayson pointed out the proof of the following fact to me.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an exact category which has unique decomposition, and suppose that all conflations in $\mathcal{E}$ are split. Then*

$$i = \bigoplus_{[M] \in \mathrm{ind}(\mathcal{E})} i_M : \bigoplus \mathcal{E}_M \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

induces an equivalence on K-theory spectra.

Proof. The hypotheses imply that any conflation in $\mathcal{E}$ can be decomposed uniquely as a finite direct sum of conflations in the subcategories $\mathcal{E}_M$. Since the category $Q\mathcal{E}$ depends only on the conflations in $\mathcal{E}$, the functor $Q(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_M) \to Q\mathcal{E}$ is an equivalence. □

Remark 2.4. Note that the functor i above does not in general induce an equivalence on derived categories (see [Kel96, §11] for a definition of the derived category of an exact category). As all conflations in $\mathcal{E}$ and $\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_M$ are split, their bounded homotopy categories $\mathsf{K}^b(\mathcal{E})$, $\mathsf{K}^b(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_M)$ are already their derived categories. The essential image of the functor $\mathsf{K}^b(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_M) = \bigoplus \mathsf{K}^b(\mathcal{E}_M) \longrightarrow \mathsf{K}^b(\mathcal{E})$ induced by i does not include any nonzero morphism $f : M \longrightarrow N$ between distinct indecomposables (viewed as chain complexes concentrated in degree zero).

3. Main Result

Fix a Henselian CM local ring R with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. We assume also that R has a canonical module, and that R is an isolated singularity, in the sense that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular for nonmaximal primes $\mathfrak{p}$. As R is Henselian local, the Krull-Schmidt theorem implies that the category $\mathsf{mod}(R)$ of finitely generated R-modules has unique decomposition.

Definition 3.1. A finitely generated R-module M is called *maximal Cohen-Macaulay* if it satisfies the following two equivalent conditions:

1. depth $M = \dim R$
2. $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$ for $i < \dim(R)$

Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the full subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}(R)$ consisting of the maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules; consider $\mathcal{C}$ as an exact category with the short exact sequences as conflations. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\oplus}$ be the same category as $\mathcal{C}$ but with a different exact structure: the conflations in $\mathcal{C}^{\oplus}$ are the split short exact sequences.

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under summands and $\mathsf{mod}(R)$ has unique decomposition, $\mathcal{C}$ also has unique decomposition. In addition, using 3.1.2, one can easily prove that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M' \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] & M'' \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

is a short exact sequence of R-modules.

1. *If M' and M'' are in $\mathcal{C}$, then so is M.*
2. *If M and M'' are in $\mathcal{C}$, then so is M'.*

Definition 3.3. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the abelian category of contravariant additive functors from $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of abelian groups. Denote by h the Yoneda functor $M \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, M)$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ be the full subcategory of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ consisting of functors F which fit into an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & h(M') \arrow[r, "h(f)"] & h(M) \arrow[r, "h(g)"] & h(M'') \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

with M', M, and M'' in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ be the full subcategory consisting of functors F fitting into a sequence as above in which additionally

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M' \arrow[r, "f"] & M \arrow[r, "g"] & M'' \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

is exact.

So there is a diagram of exact categories

(3.4)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathcal{C}^{\oplus} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "h"'] & \mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "j"'] \\
\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0 \arrow[r] & \hat{\mathcal{C}} \arrow[r, "e"] & \mathsf{mod}(R)
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which h is the Yoneda functor, $e(F) = F(R)$, and all other functors are the exact inclusions.

Proposition 3.5. *The vertical arrows h and j in 3.4 are K-theory equivalences.*

Proof. For both functors we invoke the resolution theorem in K-theory [Qui73, Theorem 3]. To apply the theorem to h, we need to show that the essential image of h is closed under extensions. Suppose

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & h(A) \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r, "p"] & h(B) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

is exact in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, and choose a lift $x \in F(B)$ of $\mathrm{id}_B \in h(B)(B)$. Define $i : h(B) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, B) \to F$ by $i_C(f) = F(f)(x)$, for $f : C \to B$. Then i splits p, so F is isomorphic to $h(A) \oplus h(B) \cong h(A \oplus B)$.

We need also to show that if

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] & h(A) \arrow[r, "p"] & h(B) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

is exact in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, then F is in the essential image of h. As before, one can find a section of p, which must be of the form $h(i)$ for some split monomorphism $i : B \to A$. Then $F \cong h(\mathrm{coker}(i))$.

To apply the resolution theorem to j, we use 3.2, together with the standard fact that every finitely generated R-module can be given a free resolution whose syzygies are eventually Cohen-Macaulay. For this last fact, see, for example, [Buc87, 4.2.2.iv]. ☐

Theorem 3.6. *There is a long exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & K_i(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0) \arrow[r] & K_i(\hat{\mathcal{C}}) \arrow[r] & K'_i(R) \arrow[r] & K_{i-1}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0) \arrow[r] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. By [Sch06, 10.1] and the proof of [Sch06, 10.3], $K(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0) \longrightarrow K(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$ is the homotopy fiber of the composition $\begin{tikzcd} K(\hat{\mathcal{C}}) \arrow[r, "(K(h))^{-1}"] & K(\mathcal{C}^{\oplus}) \arrow[r] & K(\mathcal{C}) \end{tikzcd}$. The statement of the theorem follows now from the fact that j is a K-theory equivalence. ☐

Next we classify the simple objects of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition 3.7. For each indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay module M, let $R_M = \mathrm{End}_R(M)$. Let κ_M be the quotient of R_M by its Jacobson radical; κ_M is a division ring. Fix a functor $S_M \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfying

1. $S_M(N) = 0$ if N is indecomposable and not isomorphic to M,
2. $S_M(M) = \kappa_M$, and
3. For $f : M \longrightarrow M$, $S_M(f)$ is the image of f in κ_M.

These properties determine S_M uniquely up to isomorphism.

An epimorphism $f : E \longrightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is called *right almost split* if it is not split and every map $g : L \longrightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{C}$ which is not a split epimorphism factors through f:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L \arrow[d, dashed, "\exists"'] \arrow[dr, "g"] & \\
E \arrow[r, "f"] & M
\end{tikzcd}$$

A short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathcal{C}$ is called an *Auslander-Reiten sequence* if M is indecomposable and f is right almost split.

The following proposition was originally proved by Auslander in [Aus86] under the assumption that R is complete; as observed in [Yos90, 3.2], one needs only assume R is Henselian. We omit the proof.

Proposition 3.8. *For any indecomposable M in $\mathcal{C}$ which is not isomorphic to R, there is a right almost split morphism $E \longrightarrow M$.*

Proposition 3.9. *Let S be a functor in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *S is simple in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, and $S(R) \cong 0$.*
2. *$S \cong S_M$ for some indecomposable R-module M which is not isomorphic to R.*

Proof. We prove only 1 ⇒ 2; the converse is straightforward. Suppose S is a simple object in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. S cannot vanish on all indecomposables, so let M be an indecomposable in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $S(M) \neq 0$. Choose nonzero $x \in S(M)$. x defines a nonzero morphism $x_* : \mathcal{C}(-, M) \longrightarrow S$ by $(x_*)_N(f) = S(f)(x)$ for $f : N \longrightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{C}$. As S is simple, x_* must be an epimorphism, and its kernel is a maximal subfunctor of $\mathcal{C}(-, M)$.

By Proposition 3.8 there is a right almost split morphism $f : E \longrightarrow M$. Since f is right almost split, the image of $\mathcal{C}(-, f) : \mathcal{C}(-, E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, M)$ is the unique maximal subfunctor of $\mathcal{C}(-, M)$, so there is an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{C}(-, E) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-,f)} \mathcal{C}(-, M) \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Using this exact sequence and the fact that f is right almost split, one easily verifies that S satisfies conditions 1-3 of Definition 3.7. Therefore $S_M = S$. □

Definition 3.10. Let $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0^s$ be the full subcategory of $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ consisting of objects which are semisimple in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

In other words, $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0^s$ consists of those functors which are isomorphic to finite direct sums of the functors S_M, with $M \ncong R$.

Proposition 3.11. *If F is in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0^s$ and $G \subset F$ is a subfunctor, then G and F/G are both in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0^s$.*

Proof. Since F is semisimple in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, so must be G and F/G. By the proof of Proposition 3.9, simple objects of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are actually in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$, so G and F/G must be in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ as well. □

Definition 3.12. We say R is *of finite Cohen-Macaulay type* if there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-modules.

The next theorem is what makes everything work. It follows easily from results of Auslander; our proof is taken from [Yos90].

Theorem 3.13. *Suppose R is of finite Cohen-Macaulay type. Then every functor F in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ admits a filtration*

$$0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-1} \subset F_n = F$$

with F_i in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ and F_i/F_{i-1} simple in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, for all i.

Proof. F has a presentation of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{C}(-,N) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{C}(-,f)"] & \mathcal{C}(-,M) \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

for some epimorphism $f : N \twoheadrightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Set $K = \ker(f)$, so F is a subfunctor of $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(-,K)$. For any maximal Cohen-Macaulay module L and prime $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$, $L_\mathfrak{p}$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module; since $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is regular local, $L_\mathfrak{p}$ is in fact a free $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module. Therefore $(\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(L,K))_\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(L_\mathfrak{p}, K_\mathfrak{p}) = 0$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(L,K)$ is supported only at $\mathfrak{m}$, it must be a finite length R-module. Therefore the submodule $F(L)$ is finite length as well.

Now let L be the direct sum of all non-free indecomposables in $\mathcal{C}$. The proof proceeds by induction on the length of $F(L)$. If $\operatorname{length}(F(L)) = 0$, F vanishes on all indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, so $F \cong 0$ and F trivially admits the desired filtration. Suppose, then, that $\operatorname{length}(F(L)) > 0$, and assume that any functor G in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ with $\operatorname{length}(G(L)) < \operatorname{length}(F(L))$ admits a filtration as above. Choose an indecomposable M with $F(M) \neq 0$; then choose an epimorphism of R_M-modules $p : F(M) \longrightarrow \kappa_M$. p extends to a natural transformation $\pi : F \longrightarrow S_M$. Let $G = \ker(\pi)$, so there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] & S_M \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd} .$$

Therefore $\operatorname{length}(G(L)) < \operatorname{length}(F(L))$. By [Yos90, 4.17], G is in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$, so G admits our desired filtration. From the exact sequence above, it follows that F admits such a filtration as well. ☐

Corollary 3.14. *If R is of finite Cohen-Macaulay type, the inclusion $\hat{\mathcal{C}}^s_0 \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ is a K-theory equivalence.*

Proof. Proposition 3.11 and Theorem 3.13 allow us to apply Dévissage to the subcategory $\hat{\mathcal{C}}^s_0 \subset \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$. The conclusion follows. ☐

As before, let $\operatorname{ind}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{C}$, and put $\operatorname{ind}_0(\mathcal{C}) = \operatorname{ind}(\mathcal{C}) \backslash \{[R]\}$. $\hat{\mathcal{C}}^s_0$ is semisimple, and its simple objects are the functors S_M for $[M] \in \operatorname{ind}_0(\mathcal{C})$. Therefore the evident functor

$$\bigoplus_{[M]\in\operatorname{ind}_0(\mathcal{C})} \mathsf{free}(\operatorname{End}(S_M)) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}^s_0$$

is an equivalence. As the functors K_i commute with products, and since $\operatorname{End}(S_M) \cong \kappa_M$, we have $\bigoplus_{[M]\in\operatorname{ind}_0(\mathcal{C})} K_i(\mathsf{free}(\kappa_M)) \cong K_i(\hat{\mathcal{C}}^s_0)$.

The following lemma produces a decomposition of $K_i(\mathcal{C}^{\oplus})$.

Lemma 3.15. *The exact functor* $\bigoplus_{[M]\in\mathrm{ind}(\mathcal{C})} \mathsf{free}(R_M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\oplus}$ *taking* R_M *to* M *is a* K*-theory equivalence.*

Proof. This follows immediately from 2.3. ☐

So when R is of finite CM type, we end up with the diagram

(3.16)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\prod_{\mathrm{ind}_0(\mathcal{C})} \mathsf{free}(\kappa_M) \arrow[d, swap, "\simeq"] & \prod_{\mathrm{ind}(\mathcal{C})} \mathsf{free}(R_M) \arrow[d, swap, "\simeq_K"] & \\
\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0^s \arrow[d, swap, "\simeq_K"] & \mathcal{C}^{\oplus} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, swap, "\simeq_K"] & \mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "\simeq_K"] \\
\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0 \arrow[r] & \hat{\mathcal{C}} \arrow[r] & \mathsf{mod}(R)
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which all arrows labeled $\simeq_K$ induce equivalences in K-theory. Since projective R_M- and κ_M-modules are always free, the above diagram induces a long exact sequence of K-groups

(3.17)
$$\cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{M\ncong R} K_i(\kappa_M) \longrightarrow \bigoplus K_i(R_M) \longrightarrow K_i'(R) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{M\ncong R} K_{i-1}(\kappa_M) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Remark 3.18. By Nakayama's Lemma, the image of $\mathfrak{m}$ in R_M is contained in the maximal ideal of R_M. So we may view κ_M as a division algebra over $R/\mathfrak{m}$. As R_M is a finitely generated R-module, κ_M is a finite dimensional vector space over $R/\mathfrak{m}$. In particular, if $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is algebraically closed, $\kappa_M = R/\mathfrak{m}$.

Remark 3.19. Suppose $R = S/(w)$ where S is regular local. Then we may apply the techniques above to obtain a decomposition of the K-theory of the dg category MF of matrix factorizations in S with potential w. An object of this category is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded finitely generated free S-module X with a degree-one endomorphism d_X such that $d_X^2 = w \cdot \mathrm{id}$. The morphism complex $\mathsf{MF}(X,Y)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded complex of morphisms of S-modules. Its differential D is defined on a homogeneous element $f \in \mathsf{MF}(X,Y)$ of degree n by $Df = d_Y \circ f - (-1)^n f \circ d_X$.

Consider the Frobenius category $\mathsf{Z}^0\mathsf{MF}$ whose objects are the same as those of MF, with morphisms defined by $(\mathsf{Z}^0\mathsf{MF})(X,Y) = Z^0(\mathsf{MF}(X,Y))$. Let CMF be the Frobenius subcategory consisting of contractible matrix factorizations – that is, those matrix factorizations X for which $\mathrm{id}_X \in B^0(\mathsf{MF}(X,X))$. There is then a map of Frobenius pairs

$$\Omega_0 : (\mathsf{Z}^0\mathsf{MF}, \mathsf{CMF}) \longrightarrow (\mathsf{Ch}^b\mathsf{mod}(R), \mathsf{Ch}^b\mathsf{free}(R))$$

taking a matrix factorization $\begin{tikzcd} X^0 \arrow[r, shift left, "d^0"] & X^1 \arrow[l, shift left, "d^1"] \end{tikzcd}$ to $\mathrm{coker}(d^0)$, considered as a complex concentrated in degree zero. By [Orl04, Theorem 3.9], this induces an equivalence on derived categories, so in particular it is an equivalence in K-theory. On the other hand, $K(\mathsf{Z}^0\mathsf{MF}, \mathsf{CMF})$ agrees with the K-theory of the dg category MF as defined in [Toë08, 5.2], so $K(\mathsf{MF})$ is equivalent to the homotopy cofiber of the map $K(R) \longrightarrow K'(R)$ induced by the inclusion of exact categories.

Consider the following exact triangles of spectra.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K(R) \arrow[r, "f"] & \bigvee_{\mathrm{ind}(\mathcal{C})} K(R_M) \arrow[r] & \bigvee_{\mathrm{ind}_0(\mathcal{C})} K(R_M) \arrow[r] & \sum K(R) \\
\bigvee_{\mathrm{ind}(\mathcal{C})} K(R_M) \arrow[r, "g"] & K'(R) \arrow[r] & \sum \bigvee_{\mathrm{ind}_0(\mathcal{C})} K(\kappa_M) \arrow[r] & \sum \bigvee_{\mathrm{ind}(\mathcal{C})} K(R_M) \\
K(R) \arrow[r, "gf"] & K'(R) \arrow[r] & K(\mathsf{MF}) \arrow[r] & \sum K(R)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The top sequence is the obvious split exact triangle, and the bottom sequence is the exact triangle described above. The middle sequence is the triangle inducing 3.17, rotated once. Using the octahedral axiom to compare the cones of f, g, and gf, we obtain an exact triangle

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigvee_{\mathrm{ind}_0(\mathcal{C})} K(\kappa_M) \arrow[r] & \bigvee_{\mathrm{ind}_0(\mathcal{C})} K(R_M) \arrow[r] & K(\mathsf{MF}) \arrow[r] & \sum \bigvee_{\mathrm{ind}_0(\mathcal{C})} K(\kappa_M) .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Keep in mind that the first morphism is nontrivial; it involves the data of the Auslander-Reiten sequences in $\mathcal{C}$.

Example 3.20. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and $S = k[[x, y]]$. Put $w = x^2 + y^2 \in S$ and let $R = S/(w)$. The indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-modules are R, $N_+ = R/(x + iy)$, and $N_- = R/(x - iy)$; here i is the square root of -1. The endomorphism rings of these modules are $R_{N_+} = R/(x + iy)$ and $R_{N_-} = R/(x - iy)$, and by 3.18, the division algebras κ_{N_+}, κ_{N_-} are both just k. So using 3.19, we obtain a long exact sequence of K-groups

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & K_n(k) \oplus K_n(k) \arrow[r, "f_n"] & K_n(R_{N_+}) \oplus K_n(R_{N_-}) \\
\arrow[r] & K_n(\mathsf{MF}) \arrow[r] & K_{n-1}(k) \oplus K_{n-1}(k) \arrow[r, "f_{n-1}"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

The Auslander-Reiten sequences ending in N_- and N_+ are

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & N_+ \arrow[r, "\cdot(x-iy)"] & R \arrow[r] & N_- \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & N_- \arrow[r, "\cdot(x+iy)"] & R \arrow[r] & N_+ \arrow[r] & 0 .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Using these data, one can trace through the morphisms in 3.16 to produce the following description of f_n. The inclusions $\iota_\pm : k \longrightarrow R_{N_\pm}$ induce maps $\iota^n_\pm : K_n(k) \longrightarrow K_n(R_{N_\pm})$. f_n is then given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \iota^n_+ & \iota^n_+ \\ \iota^n_- & \iota^n_- \end{pmatrix} .$$

References

[AR86] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten, *Grothendieck groups of algebras and orders*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **39** (1986), 1–51.

[Aus86] Maurice Auslander, *Isolated singularities and the existence of almost split sequences*, Proc. ICRA IV (New York-Berlin), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1178, Springer-Verlag, 1986, pp. 194–241.

[Buc87] R.O. Buchweitz, *Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate-cohomology over Gorenstein rings*, Available from: `https://tspace.library.utoronto.ca/handle/1807/16682`, 1987.

[Kel96] Bernhard Keller, *Derived categories and their uses*, vol. 1, pp. 671–701, Elsevier, 1996.

[Orl04] Dmitri Orlov, *Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. (2004), 227–248.

[Qui73] Daniel Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory: I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **341** (1973), 85–147.

[Sch06] Marco Schlichting, *Negative K-theory of derived categories*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **253** (2006), no. 1, 97–134.

[Toë08] Bertrand Toën, *Lectures on dg-categories*, for the Sedano winter school on K-theory, 2008.

[Wal83] Friedhelm Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-theory of spaces*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology **1126** (1983), 318–419.

[Yos90] Yuji Yoshino, *Cohen-Macaulay Modules over Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

Viraj Navkal, Mathematics Department, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
E-mail address: `viraj@math.ucla.edu`